The members of the committee and the subject experts interviewed and assessed the candidate(s). They considered the Annual Confidential Reports of the candidate for the assessment period and performance of the candidate(s) during the interview with regard to their research projects/research publications, knowledge, skills and attitude for patient care, laboratory work, teaching activities and relevant records related to the candidate(s) including the assessment of the candidate(s) done by the two subject experts with regards to his/her competency, professionalism, proficiency, attitude and leadership quality. Taking into consideration, all these factors in his/her performance, the Standing Selection Committee graded each of them as fit or unfit and the committee accordingly recommends those following fit candidate(s) only for promotion to the grade of the **Professor of Nephrology** under the Assessment Promotion Scheme as per eligibility from the date as shown against each one(s), as under:-

SL. NO.	Name of the candidates	Batch	Remarks
01	Dr. Sandeep Mahajan	01.07.2013	Fil

In case the candidate is not found fit for promotion, the reason therefore :-

DR. JAGDISH PRASAD

(MEMBER)

DR. M.C. MISRA

(MEMBER-SECRETARY)

DR.-ABDUL HAMID ZARGAR

(CHAIRMAN)

FACULTY ASSESSMENT PROMOTION SCHEME

The following Departmental candidate (s) appeared for interview for promotion from the date as indicated against each candidate(s) from the grade of of Additional Professor Nephrology the grade Professor of Nephrology under the Assessment Promotion Scheme. After considering the Annual Confidential Reports of the candidate for the assessment period and performance in the interview with regard to research project, research work, publications and other related works, both clinical patient care/laboratory experiments including the candidate's competency, professionalism, knowledge, skill and attitude and leadership quality, the following grading is given by the member of the Standing Selection Committee with regard to his/her fitness against the name of the Faculty:-

- 1) A+ (Exceptionally Brilliant)
- 2) A (Outstanding)
- 3) B+ (Very Good)
- 4) B (Good)
- 5) C (Poor)

SL. NO.	Name of the candidates	Batch	Grading	FIT/UNFIT
01	Dr. Sandeep Mahajan	01.07.2013	B+	Pih

Signature of the Member of Selection Committee

Name in BLOCK letters

M.C. MISRA

FACULTY ASSESSMENT PROMOTION SCHEME

The following Departmental candidate (s) appeared for interview for promotion from the date as indicated against each candidate(s) from the grade of Additional **Professor** of Nephrology the grade <u>Professor of Nephrology</u> under the Assessment Promotion Scheme. After considering the Annual Confidential Reports of the candidate for the assessment period and performance in the interview with regard to research project, research work, publications and other related works, both clinical patient care/laboratory experiments including the candidate's competency, professionalism, knowledge, skill and attitude and leadership quality, the following grading is given by the member of the Standing Selection Committee with regard to his/her fitness against the name of the Faculty:-

- 1) A+ (Exceptionally Brilliant)
- 2) A (Outstanding)
- 3) B+ (Very Good)
- 4) B (Good)
- 5) C (Poor)

SL. NO.	Name of the candidates	Batch	Grading	FIT/UNFIT
01	Dr. Sandeep Mahajan	01.07.2013	B十	FIL

Signature of the Member

of Selection Committee

Name in BLOCK letters DR. JAGDISH PRASHD

FACULTY ASSESSMENT PROMOTION SCHEME

The following Departmental candidate (s) appeared for interview for promotion from the date as indicated against each candidate(s) from the grade of Additional Professor of Nephrology the to grade Professor of Nephrology under the Assessment Promotion Scheme. After considering the Annual Confidential Reports of the candidate for the assessment period and performance in the interview with regard to research project, research work, publications and other related works, both clinical patient care/laboratory experiments including the candidate's competency, professionalism, knowledge, skill and attitude and leadership quality, the following grading is given by the member of the Standing Selection Committee with regard to his/her fitness against the name of the Faculty:-

- (Exceptionally Brilliant) 1) A+
- 2) A (Outstanding)
- 3) (Very Good) B+
- 4) (Good) B
- 5) C (Poor)

SL. NO.	Name of the candidates	Batch	Grading	FIT/UNFIT
01	Dr. Sandeep Mahajan	01.07.2013	D+	= Fitn

Signature of the Member of Selection Committee

Name in BLOCK letters _ Peof.

ABDUL YAMIN

FACULTY ASSESSMENT PROMOTION SCHEME

The following Departmental candidate (s) appeared for interview for promotion from the date as indicated against each candidate(s) from the grade of Nephrology the grade Professor of Additional to Professor of Nephrology under the Assessment Promotion Scheme. After considering the Annual Confidential Reports of the candidate for the assessment period and performance in the interview with regard to research project, research work, publications and other related works, both clinical patient care/laboratory experiments including the candidate's competency, professionalism, knowledge, skill and attitude and leadership quality, the following grading is given by the subject expert with regard to his/her fitness against the name of the Faculty:

- 1) A+ (Exceptionally Brilliant)
- 2) A (Outstanding)
- 3) B+ (Very Good)
- 4) B (Good)
- 5) C (Poor)

SL. NO.	Name of the candidates	Batch	Grading	FIT/UNETT
01	Dr. Sandeep Mahajan	01.07.2013	A	Fit

Signature of the Technical Advisor/Expert

Name in BLOCK letters Dr RK Sharma

FACULTY ASSESSMENT PROMOTION SCHEME

The following Departmental candidate (s) appeared for interview for promotion from the date as indicated against each candidate(s) from the grade of Additional Professor of Nephrology to the grade of Professor of Nephrology under the Assessment Promotion Scheme. After considering the Annual Confidential Reports of the candidate for the assessment period and performance in the interview with regard to research project, research work, publications and other related works, both clinical patient care/laboratory experiments including the candidate's competency, professionalism, knowledge, skill and attitude and leadership quality, the following grading is given by the subject expert with regard to his/her fitness against the name of the Faculty:-

A+	(Exceptionally Brilliant)
Α	(Outstanding)
B+	(Very Good)
	Α

4) B (Good)

5) C (Poor)

SL. NO.	Name of the candidates	Batch	Grading	FIT/UNFIT
01	Dr. Sandeep Mahajan	01.07.2013	A	Ff

Signature of the Technical Advisor/Expert

Name in BLOCK letters | PREM A NARMA