The Governing Body in its 150th meeting held on 28th February, 2014 vide agenda item no. GB-150/8 considered the representation of 17 faculty members who were not found fit by the Standing selection Committee in their meeting held in April, 2013 which includes the representation of DR. SENTHIL KUMARAN. The Governing Body referred back all those 17 cases to the Standing Selection Committee for review. Accordingly, the members of the committee and the new subject experts interviewed and assessed the candidate. They considered the Annual Confidential Reports of the candidate for the assessment period and performance of the candidate during the interview with regard to his research projects/research publications, knowledge, skills and attitude for patient care, laboratory work, teaching activities and relevant records related to the candidate including the assessment of the candidate done by the two subject experts with regards to his/her competency, professionalism, proficiency, attitude and leadership quality. Taking into consideration, all these factors in his/her performance, the Standing Selection Committee graded him as fit or unfit and the committee accordingly recommends fit candidate only for promotion to the grade of Additional Professor of Nuclear Magnetic Resonance under the Assessment Promotion Scheme as per eligibility from the date as shown against each one, as under:-

| SL. NO. | Name of the candidates | Batch | Remarks |
|---------|------------------------|------------|---------|
| 01 | DR. SENTHIL KUMARAN | 01.07.2012 | Pik |

In case the candidate is not found fit for promotion, the reason therefore :-

DR. K.K. TALWAR

(MEMBER)

DR. M.C. MISRA

(MEMBER-SECRETARY)

DR. R.A. BADWE

(CHAIRMAN)

FACULTY ASSESSMENT PROMOTION SCHEME

The following Departmental candidate (s) appeared for interview for promotion from the date as indicated against each candidate(s) from the grade of Associate Professor of Nuclear Magnetic Resonance to the grade of Additional Professor of Nuclear Magnetic Resonance under the Assessment Promotion Scheme. After considering the Annual Confidential Reports of the candidate for the assessment period and performance in the interview with regard to research project, research work, publications and other related works, both clinical patient care/laboratory experiments including the candidate's competency, professionalism, knowledge, skill and attitude and leadership quality, the following grading is given by the member of the Standing Selection Committee with regard to his/her fitness against the name of the Faculty:-

- A+ (Exceptionally Brilliant)
 A (Outstanding)
- 3) B+ (Very Good)
- 4) B (Good) 5) C (Poor)

| SL. NO. | Name of the candidates | Batch | Grading | FIT/UNFIT |
|---------|------------------------|------------|---------|-----------|
| 01 | DR. SENTHIL KUMARAN | 01.07.2012 | Bt | FIT |

Signature of the Member of Selection Committee

Name in BLOCK letters

FACULTY ASSESSMENT PROMOTION SCHEME

The following Departmental candidate (s) appeared for interview for promotion from the date as indicated against each candidate(s) from the grade of Associate Professor of Nuclear Magnetic Resonance to the grade of Additional Professor of Nuclear Magnetic Resonance under the Assessment Promotion Scheme. After considering the Annual Confidential Reports of the candidate for the assessment period and performance in the interview with regard to research project, research work, publications and other related works, both clinical patient care/laboratory experiments including the candidate's competency, professionalism, knowledge, skill and attitude and leadership quality, the following grading is given by the member of the Standing Selection Committee with regard to his/her fitness against the name of the Faculty:-

| 1) | A + | (Exceptionally Brilliant) |
|----|------------|---------------------------|
| 21 | Λ. | / Outstanding \ |

- 2) (Outstanding)
- 3) (Very Good)
- 4) (Good)
- 5) (Poor)

| SL. NO. | Name of the candidates | Batch | Grading | FIT/UNFIT |
|---------|------------------------|------------|---------|-----------|
| 01 | DR. SENTHIL KUMARAN | 01.07.2012 | B+ | Fih |

Signature of the Member of Selection Committee

Name in BLOCK letters

FACULTY ASSESSMENT PROMOTION SCHEME

The following Departmental candidate (s) appeared for interview for promotion from the date as indicated against each candidate(s) from the grade of Associate Professor of Nuclear Magnetic Resonance to the grade of Additional Professor of Nuclear Magnetic Resonance under the Assessment Promotion Scheme. After considering the Annual Confidential Reports of the candidate for the assessment period and performance in the interview with regard to research project, research work, publications and other related works, both clinical patient care/laboratory experiments including the candidate's competency, professionalism, knowledge, skill and attitude and leadership quality, the following grading is given by the member of the Standing Selection Committee with regard to his/her fitness against the name of the Faculty:-

- 1) A+ (Exceptionally Brilliant) 2) (Outstanding) Α 3) B+ (Very Good) 4) (Good) В
- 5) (Poor)

| SL. NO. | Name of the candidates | Batch | Grading | FIT/UNFIT |
|---------|------------------------|------------|---------|-----------|
| 01 | DR. SENTHIL KUMARAN | 01.07.2012 | 8+ | 'F.T' |

Signature of the Member of Selection Committee

Name in BLOCK letters K. K. TALWAR

FACULTY ASSESSMENT PROMOTION SCHEME

The following Departmental candidate (s) appeared for interview for promotion from the date as indicated against each candidate(s) from the grade of Associate Professor of Nuclear Magnetic Resonance to the grade of Additional Professor of Nuclear Magnetic Resonance under the Assessment Promotion Scheme. After considering the Annual Confidential Reports of the candidate for the assessment period and performance in the interview with regard to research project, research work, publications and other related works, both clinical patient care/laboratory experiments including the candidate's competency, professionalism, knowledge, skill and attitude and leadership quality, the following grading is given by the Technical Advisor/Expert with regard to his/her fitness against the name of the Faculty:-

- 1) A+ (Exceptionally Brilliant)
- 2) A (Outstanding)
- 3) B+ (Very Good)
- 4) B (Good)
- 5) C (Poor)

| SL. NO. | Name of the candidates | Batch | Grading | FIT/UNFIT |
|---------|------------------------|------------|----------------|-----------|
| 01 | DR. SENTHIL KUMARAN | 01.07.2012 | B ⁺ | FIT |

Signature of the Technical Advisor/Expert

Name in BLOCK letters RAJA ROY

FACULTY ASSESSMENT PROMOTION SCHEME

The following Departmental candidate (s) appeared for interview for promotion from the date as indicated against each candidate(s) from the grade of Associate Professor of Nuclear Magnetic Resonance to the grade of Additional Professor of Nuclear Magnetic Resonance under the Assessment Promotion Scheme. After considering the Annual Confidential Reports of the candidate for the assessment period and performance in the interview with regard to research project, research work, publications and other related works, both clinical patient care/laboratory experiments including the candidate's competency, professionalism, knowledge, skill and attitude and leadership quality, the following grading is given by the Technical Advisor/Expert with regard to his/her fitness against the name of the Faculty:-

- 1) A+ (Exceptionally Brilliant)
- 2) A (Outstanding)
- 3) B+ (Very Good)
- 4) B (Good)
- 5) C (Poor)

| SL. NO. | Name of the candidates | Batch | Grading | FIT/UNFIT |
|---------|------------------------|------------|---------|-----------|
| 01 | DR. SENTHIL KUMARAN | 01.07.2012 | A | FIT |

Signature of the Technical Advisor/Expert

V. howdhur

Name in BLOCK letters VEENA CHOWDHURY